2 3 4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 8 9 Steven Brewer, No. CV-19-02026-PHX-NVW (BSB) CR-12-01927-02-PHX-NVW Petitioner, 10 11 v. AL OF CERTIFICATE OF 12 United States of America, APPEALABILITY AND IN FORMA PAUPERIS STATUS 13 Respondent. 14 Before the Court are Petitioner's Motion Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to Vacate, Set 15 Aside or Correct Sentence by Person in Federal Custody (Doc. 1), United States Magistrate 16 Judge Fine's Report and Recommendation (Doc. 18) and Petitioner's Objection to the 17 Magistrate's Report and Recommendation (Doc. 19). 18 The Court has considered Petitioner's objections and reviewed the Report and 19 Recommendation de novo. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (stating that 20 the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and 21 Recommendation to which specific objections are made). The Court accepts the magistrate 22 judge's recommended disposition within the meaning of Rule 72(b), Fed. R. Civ. P., and 23 overrules Petitioner's objections. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (stating that the district court 24 "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made 25 by the magistrate"). 26 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of 27 Magistrate Judge Fine (Doc. 18) granting Respondent's Motion to Dismiss Defendant's 28 Motion Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Doc. 6) is accepted.

1

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Movant's Motion Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to Vacate, Set Aside or Correct Sentence by a Person in Federal Custody (Doc. 1) is dismissed with prejudice.

The request for a certificate of appealability is denied because dismissal of the Motion is justified by a plain procedural bar and reasonable jurists would not find the procedural ruling debatable.

Dated this 16th day of October, 2019.

____New

Neil V. Wake Senior United States District Judge